

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

Vladimir Isidor St. Louis,)	C.A. No. 4:09-2015-TLW-TER
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
-versus-)	ORDER
)	
Victor Loranth, Thomas Liles, and D. Heinrichs,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Rogers recommends that Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment be granted and that this case be dismissed in its entirety. The Report was filed on January 27, 2011. The plaintiff has filed no objections to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. No objections have been filed to the Report. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that

the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 51), Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment is **GRANTED** (Doc. # 30), and this case is **DISMISSED** in its entirety.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten
TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

March 15, 2011
Florence, South Carolina